151

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6544 Case file # 95-259894.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea. No transcript.

Independent Review co	onducted by:	Steve Roberts	on		-	
Area(s) of Expertise:	Hair and Fib	er				
Review commenced at	2:30 PM	(Time),	03/15/2001	(Date	e)	
File #: 95-259894						
Laboratory #(s): 40	224002			-		
						*****
-		Examiner(	s) & Symbol	s		
	Reviewed	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	Χ¤					0
UL, PR	ä	X□			D	۵
	D				0	0
Trial testimony transcri	pt(s) of:	Material	s Reviewed			
Testimony Da	_		Pages:			
aboratory Report(s):						
Laboratory Nur	mber: 402	24002		Date:	May 8, 1984	
Laboratory Nur	nber:	· ·		Date:		
Laboratory Nur	nber:			Date:		
Examiner Bench Notes	of: RQ			_		
Laboratory Nu	mber: 4022	24002		_		•
				<b>-</b>		
		Page 1	of <u>3</u>	-		
		Initials:	Sui			

å<sup>5</sup>).

Was any other mat	erial reviewed? XD	Yes	□ No					15
If yes, please ider	nify and/or describe the	he material:	Submitting age	ency lette	er (dated	2-16-84	)	•
		Results	of Review	ŗ				
File #: 95-259	394	Item or Specimen # Reviewed:			Q1, Q3-Q16, Q21-Q24, K1, K2,			, K2,
K5-K10								
	Review of	Laboratory R	.eport(s) and	Bench	Notes:			
		ered comments				ises		
	Note: Numb additional pages fo caminer perform the a protocols, and analytic	appropriate tests	in a scientifica	ally acces	ptable ma	l exam	ination(s)	
methods, p	additional pages for aminer perform the a protocols, and analytic amination results set if	ippropriate tests in techniques available of Y	in a scientifica ilable at the ti (es □ No ratory report(s (es X□ No	ally acception of the X D United Supports of D Unit	ptable ma ne origina nable to I	il exam Determinated	ination(s) ne ly docume	?
methods, p	additional pages for caminer perform the a protocols, and analytic amination results set in notes?	ppropriate tests in techniques avaived forth in the labor Parkers of Review of the bered comments	in a scientifical ilable at the tiles I No ratory report(s) Yes X I No Testimony:	ally accepme of the X = Unit of the Unit o	ptable ma ne origina nable to I ted and ac nable to I	al exam Determined dequated Determined	ination(s) ne ly docume	?
methods, p	additional pages for saminer perform the approtocols, and analytic amination results set inotes?  Note: Numbadditional pages for	ppropriate tests in techniques avaived forth in the labor Parkers of Review of the bered comments	in a scientifical ilable at the tiles I No ratory report(s) Yes X I No Testimony:	ally accepme of the X = Unit of the Unit o	ptable ma ne origina nable to I ted and ac nable to I	al exam Determined dequated Determined	ination(s) ne ly docume	?
methods, p  2) Are the ex the bench	additional pages for saminer perform the approtocols, and analytic amination results set inotes?  Note: Numbadditional pages for	Review of bered comments or any "No" or "	in a scientifical ilable at the tiles I No ratory report(s) Yes X I No Testimony:	ally accepme of the X = Unit of the Unit o	ptable ma ne origina nable to I ted and ac nable to I	al exam Determined dequated Determined	ination(s) ne ly docume	? nted in
methods, p  2) Are the ex the bench  X Transcript not  3) Testimony cons	additional pages for caminer perform the a protocols, and analytic amination results set inotes?  Note: Number additional pages for available.	Review of bered comments or any "No" or "	in a scientifical ilable at the tiles I No ratory report(s) Yes X I No Testimony:	ally accepme of the X D Unit of the Unit o	ptable ma ne origina nable to I ted and ac nable to I	l exam Determined dequated Determined	ination(s) ne ly docume ne	? nted in
methods, p  2) Are the ex the bench  X Transcript not  3) Testimony cons  4) Testimony cons	additional pages for caminer perform the approtocols, and analytic amination results set inotes?  Note: Number additional pages for available.	Review of bered comments or any "No" or "	in a scientifical ilable at the tiles of No ratory report(s) when the second is a result of the second in the seco	ally accepme of the X D Unit of the Unit o	ptable mane original nable to I ded and act nable to I der on the response	nses	ination(s)  by docume  ne  able to De	nted in

Page 2 of 3
Initials: Suc

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

	95-259894					
#1: With	microscopic ha	ir comparison, e	even with the	e best notes, there is no v	vay to determin	e the comparison
was perfe	ormed correctly.	,				
#2: The c	xamination rest	ults set forth in	the laborator	y report are supported by	the bench not	es, but the
documen	tation is margin	ally adequate. T	he notes are	not dated or initialed an	d are in pencil.	RQ uses
abbreviat	ions to indicate	the microscopic	characteris	tics of the hair. These ab	breviations are	difficult to interpret.
The techn	nician's notes do	not document	that hair was	s recovered from the Q is	ems.	
						_
Review e	ompleted at:	2:45 PM	_ (Time),	03/15/2001	(Date)	
	ompleted at: e spent conducti		_		(Date)	
Total time	e spent conducti	ing review (to n	earest 1/4 ho	our): 0:15 hours	er and that the i	results of my review
Total time	e spent conducti	ing review (to n	earest 1/4 ho	our): 0:15 hours	er and that the i	esults of my review  03/15/2001

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SUC